Thoreau’s “Concord River”
Living Transcendentally on Currents of Time

I was born and lived through my teens just a few miles down the road from Concord in another minor village, Dedham, Massachusetts; yet believe it or not, despite being in and out of the vicinity for something over six decades, I have made only three visits ever to the town that is home to Emerson, home to Thoreau and his transcendental river. I learned from flyers in the vestibule of my family Church that Thoreau was one in a long line of illustrious Unitarians -- his contentious relations to that sect, left unmentioned.

Curiously, although I had a soft place for him in my heart, I never read *Walden* or “Civil Disobedience” until well after high school. Concord and its river were *terra incognita*.

A stone’s throw from the First Parish, Unitarian, founded in 1638, so the granite stone declares, was my river, the Charles, where I learned early on to paddle a 100-pound canvas covered Old Town. Some time later I learned that Thoreau had written *A Week on the Concord and Merrimack, Maine Woods*, and *Cape Cod*, but I knew these only by title. In my early sixties, after a career teaching Philosophy (not, be it said, any Transcendentalism), I opened these “lesser” works -- and let them open me, discovering treasures I never imagined. I’m a recent convert to the unimaginable singularity and soaring universality of Thoreau’s poetic prose. I’ll focus my reading on the first pages of *A Week on the Concord*, taking up only the prelude, all of eight pages, titled “Concord River”-- and read at a gently walking, rowing pace.

You might think I’d start with a crisp definition of “transcendentalism”, but I’ll start with the river instead. This is Thoreau’s path, after all. One notable scholar
suggests that we look for Thoreau’s “Descendentalism”, letting the ‘transcendent’ weave within the ebb and flow of a river, finding the poetry and philosophy just there, animating singular occasions. iii We’ll traffic unabashedly in the low and immanent, tracking and relishing singular occasions that are shiftingly transcendental-immanent, temporal-atemporal, transversal-tributary. iv The so-called “Transcendentalism” of A Week and its prelude, “Concord River”, is given in a mobility of words, of travels, of radiant things and prospects all about.

There is everything to be gained by finding a transcendental radiance in the singularities of landscape and cloudscape and river life that captured Thoreau’s so marvelously alert attention. v These singular occasions shine sideways and transversally, backward and forward in time, up toward heaven and down toward the dark of a river bottom. We have not yet begun to see and hear and taste the things of the world and their interrelatedness, he thought, not yet begun to see that our salvation lies in translating ourselves out of the reified prose of the world while always keeping firm and tender touch with the world as it was (and remains) even as it transforms, becomes freed into poetry. Finding radiating and radiant connections happens as we encounter a river, join it in a day’s travel, and give touch and time to its shad and weeds, its wavelets and winds, its dams and bargemen, alders and cranberries, apple trees and histories of good and evil. vi

A TRANSCENDENTAL RIVER

Compared with the other tributaries of the Merrimack, it appears to have been properly named Musketaquid, or Meadow River, by the Indians. For the most part, it creeps
through broad meadows, adorned with scattered oaks, where
the cranberry is found in abundance, covering the ground like
a mossbed.vii

Within the first leaves of the book we learn that the river is not unto itself alone but belongs to a larger waterway, one tributary among others. We can see it, of course, in a pedestrian way as belonging just to the environs of Concord, but Thoreau wants to expand our attention. He leads us elsewhere, down to the Merrimack, an attenuated stream that stretches North to disappear in the snowy heights of Agiocochook, Mt. Washington, and then descends so many miles South as he river that is swallowed in the vast Atlantic. Thoreau leads us also to an attenuated elsewhere in time, even back to the Nile (as he suggests in “Concord’s” first sentence) -- not to mention back in time to those earlier associates of the oak adorned Meadow River, those dwellers who called it Musketaquid.

We learn that it flows as a network of strands, a reticulation, and that it is part meadow, part river, river and meadow intermixed, especially in spring floods, forming a broad marshland, an amphibious or anomalous zone. There we find the birds of the air who belong also to water, the brothers who belong to both land and water, the sturdy dory painted blue above water line and green below, to mark belonging to sky, water and marsh. All these flow with and against currents of water and wind.

Anomalous, amphibious zones are zones of flow and movement, completely neither here nor there, completely neither this nor that, zones for outlaws and nomads. In Thoreau’s posthumous Cape Cod they are the zones of scampering crabs half of the sea, half of the sands, anxiously and sideways inhabiting that changeling zone where in walking one is never sure if one belongs to the curling, rippling flood advancing to
inundate the sands, or instead to the wet-dry *terra firma* only momentarily awash, the beach sounding gentle hisses as strange waters advance and retreat. viii

After a number of poetic invocations, the days of *A Week* begin with a short prelude called “Concord River.” Here is that opening:

*The Musketaquid, or Grass-ground River, though probably as old as the Nile or Euphrates, did not begin to have a place in civilized history until the fame of its grassy meadows and its fish attracted settlers out of England in 1635, when it received the other but kindred name of CONCORD from the first plantation on its banks, which appears to have been commenced in a spirit of peace and harmony. It will be Grass-ground River as long as grass grows and water runs here; it will be Concord River only while men lead peaceable lives on its banks.* ix

Thoreau reports that on the arrival of English settlers, the river gains a new name, transferred from the name of the village -- the town that *appears*, as he says, to have been “commenced in a spirit of peace and harmony.” But how peaceable were the English displacers? And does the town deserve to retain its name? The not so gentle hint is that the new name may not be fully deserved, for Thoreau immediately adds, “To an extinct race it was grass-ground, where they hunted and fished . . .” Those first inhabitants are so far in retreat that they now may be called *extinct* -- but not quite. Thoreau keeps them in ghostly presence, appearing now and again as he honors their history and words, as he honors, for instance, in the first line of his prelude, not *his* but *their* name for this meadowy river.

The late-coming English inhabitants took over the river and naming rights,
baptizing *Musketaquid*, Meadow River, “Concord River” -- as if the former were uncouth, not transcendental or Edenic enough. This unconsecrated place is settled by English eager to farm and to fish and to pray – a people who one way or another will unsettle the long-standing tenants. The village is baptized “Concord,” and in the same breath, “The 12th Church of Christ.” Thoreau relies, as he tells us, on the record of ‘old Johnson’, the region’s first historian or bard. So far as it is achieved, this is Christian peace and harmony. “Wonder Working Providence.” is the phrase Thoreau gives to this advance of the Massachusetts plantation, repeating ‘old Johnson”s figuration, deadpan. He doesn’t believe this for an instant.

Concord River is well stocked with shad and alewives. Johnson adds observantly that salmon would be present too, but for the downstream falls too precipitous to leap. Soon the brothers Thoreau will encounter the new settlers’ manufactured falls up the Merrimack, made to drive mills. These impediments, as Henry observes, will stop the upward flow of fish in their natural transcendence from below, until they too become extinct. Fish and non-fish, Europeans and First Peoples, inhabit anomalous zones between life and death, death and life.\textsuperscript{x}

**THE RIVER AS PARADISE LOST AND REGAINED**

In and about Concord, river and town, we might hope for an eponymous heavenly harmony-in-the-making -- or at least *possible* harmony, glimpsed in the heaven Thoreau finds in a lily nearly missed as he walks years later in a malodorous swamp, suffering the stench of a fugitive slave bill.\textsuperscript{xi} It will be a harmony-in-the-making, or at least a *possible* harmony, glimpsed in pure fun scampering across ice in flow with a fox, or a lively peace, glimpsed in numberless other delightfully heaven-filled ecstasies.
Thoreau gives nods toward paradise in *A Week on the Concord*, but acknowledges plenty of sorrows, as well.

The gentle flow of the river and writing might occasionally wash over the unsettling of the first residents, and occasionally wash over the unspeakable death of John Thoreau, a back-story we know only from other sources, though its ghost is inscribed in the book’s dedication: he pleads, or prays, “Be Thou my muse, my Brother.” Then there is the dismembering memory in “Thursday,” by no means washed over, a story of apocalyptic events a bit upriver and downriver from the inflow of the Concord.

Thoreau tells of primal murders in Haverhill and some miles North in muted tones, yet the events of 1697 swirling around Hannah Dustan are as bloodcurdling as Goya’s black painting, “Chronos Devouring His Children.” Perhaps the Concord and Merrimack are an anomalous region where paradise lost darkens paradise gained, and paradise gained in the next nick of time is darkened, and our precise place on these rivers is to be questioned at any bend.

Let me turn for a moment to “Thursday” in *A Week* for those horrifying scenes of paradise lost that pervade the book, even the mostly upbeat “Concord River.” By now brothers John and Henry have reached the headwaters of the Merrimack, climbed Washington, and are making their downstream return. They row and sail swiftly, wind and current to their advantage, sweeping back toward the inflow of the Concord. Just past the turn up to Concord is Haverhill. In 1697, one hundred and thirty years before the brother’s trip, and a lifetime ahead of the founding of Concord, the town becomes stained in blood, desecrating anything like “concord.” Thoreau almost smoothly inserts these terrible interruptions of his homecoming account. Hannah Dustan, a settler, is dragged from her home by a small band of Indians. They lead her out toward the river,
and dash the brains of her nursing infant against an apple tree – thus, the end of Eden.

-- And which settlers had dashed Indian villages to bits? We are condemned to an anxious oscillation between a burden of guilt and a hope for redemption.

Dustan is brought several miles up the Merrimack, under watch -- at last, by only a remnant. As they sleep she kills and scalps them, children included – thus, the end of Eden. She takes their canoe, paddling frantically by night, to escape down the very Merrimack the brothers are now plying, the very Merrimack that will welcome the inflow of the river that will bring them home – that marvelous tributary that spreads out into gentle marsh land, welcoming “gulls wheeling overhead” and “ducks by the hundreds”, halfway to heaven, half way to Eden.

The Meadow River, or Musketaquid, became “Concord” as the plantation extended its prerogatives. The legacy already in place might been honored, so we’d be gathering today at the good village of Meadow or Grass-ground -- but here we are in Concord on the Concord, well past paradise, on a river having more or less survived, apparently indifferent to names. We might say the reality transcends the name, though it takes transcendental poets to whisper that secret. It is they who word the world, in ways that let us know -- that words are not all, and are never finished.

If there is a Thoreau-style transcendence, or a paradise regained, then ordinary, diurnal things will appear in ways that let their immanence stride with their transcendence, and in ways that let their transcendence anchor in their dailyness. There must be walkers knee deep in the marsh, as well as lilies that do and don’t transcend it, and poets in skiffs that let them transcend being permanently land-bound, that let them assume the rhythms of water (quite other than the beat of plodding of feet). For Thoreau-style transcendentalism there must be poets with wings affording the rhythms
and looks not just of the land- and water-bound but of the sky. Thoreau gives us bounteous things here and now – things that reach and gesture beyond here and now.

The brothers Thoreau, erstwhile village schoolteachers, take a river trip to fly free of the shackles of weekly business and the worst of prose, to row free, to climb free, to abide in clouds and heavenly mists, perhaps high enough to attain a prospect of continental scope – and also free to move gracefully among meadows and fish and fast water, never forgetting extinct peoples or the plummets of Hannah Dustan. There is translation as transcendence up toward the heavenly, so easy to miss with clouded eyes and touch, and translation as transcendence down, into deeper immanence, the ordinary repressed, some of which is hell.

**Translated by Words**

Here in “Concord River” we have Thoreau’s characteristic eye for singular evidence bringing us instantaneously elsewhere:

*Many waves are there agitated by the wind, keeping nature fresh,
the spray blowing in your face, reeds and rushes waving; ducks
by the hundred, all uneasy in the surf; in the raw wind, just ready
to rise, and now going off with a clatter and a whistling like
riggers straight for Labrador, flying against the stiff gale with
reefed wings, or else circling round first, with all their paddles
briskly moving, just over the surf, to reconnoitre you before they
leave these parts; gulls wheeling overhead, muskrats swimming
for dear life, wet and cold, with no fire to warm them by that you
know of, their labored homes rising here and there like haystacks;*
and countless mice and moles and winged titmice along the sunny, windy shore; cranberries tossed on the waves and heaving up on the beach, their little red skiffs beating about among the alders;

-- such healthy natural tumult as proves the last day is not yet at hand.

Such description sustains an elsewhere indefinitely extending, a beckoning otherness of wonder, risk, and allure. It sustains a non-Newtonian excess, accessed by transcendentalists, walking, attentive, writing.

If we listen to the affective, mobile, and knowing surface of Thoreau’s words, we see how one takes up with the world poetically, religiously, philosophically.

- The wind is not just disturbing the waters, it is “keeping nature fresh”, and letting you participate in the world’s renewal, “spray blowing in your face.”
- The muskrats don’t just paddle, but “swim for dear life.” Thoreau wants us to hear life – simultaneously dear, bounteous, and dangerous – as an amorphous and shape-shifting place of flow and change, of better and worse.
- There are ducks, but not just sitting or stuffed or floating or bobbing ones. We have “ducks by the hundred, all uneasy in the surf, in the raw wind, just ready to rise”; as I hear it, they’re ready in their uneasiness to see something higher, elsewhere, and rise toward it. And are we, also, “uneasy in the surf” ready to rise? If so, words are here to translate us aloft, let us transcend.
- They’re “now going off with a clatter and a whistling like riggers straight for Labrador, flying against the stiff gale with reefed wings.” In that ascent there is no necessary gap between sail riggers and ducks wheeling aloft, no gap between
where we are and where they are. We’re in a single arcing ascent, in a kind of mystic ecstasy.

- The ascending ducks are “like riggers straight for Labrador”, and so we are translated high up in the yards and rigging of a sailing ship. This is the place, Melville warns, where Transcendentalism or Platonism become tempting.\textsuperscript{xiii} Doctrine aside, it can be both bracingly ecstatic and mortally imprudent to go high aloft, to scan and to dream.

- The flock of hundreds might “circle round first, with all their paddles briskly moving, just over the surf, to reconnoiter you before they leave these parts.” Or, having reconsidered, they settle down again on the waters of the marsh.

- Gulls don’t just fly overhead, but are “wheeling”, even as the river and its words wheel on and on, the moving waters holding the image of the moving birds.

- As if to warm us to poetic malleability, translatability, Thoreau places “mice” next to winged “titmice,” linking sounds and species in a passing perception.

- Our muskrats are “wet and cold, with no fire to warm them by.” But are we too ready to lament their fireless hearths? Thoreau adds \textit{sotto voce} “so far as we know” -- a rather offhand but serious way to ask what we in fact know of the other, or of each other, or of the uncanny unfoldings before us.

- Muskrats are swimming “for dear life”, as we’ve heard, haunted by dangers, real or imaginary, hurrying to the familiar (and we presume secure) haven of “their labored homes rising here and there like haystacks.” We know the feeling! Needing safe harbor!

- Cranberries are cranberries, of course, but not only that as the poet’s eye, the
translator’s eye, the transcendentalists’ eye, finds them “tossed on the waves and heaving up on the beach, their little red skiffs beating about among the alders”. They may sail through the chop as if in heaven -- or be tossed up on the beach as wrecks.

- Perhaps other red skiffs “beating about’ are beating to windward on the way to Labrador, or beating their way up the Merrimack up toward Agiocochook, "Home of the Great Spirit" -- even while they are also skiffs seeking safe harbor by an alder, and also just cranberries rising and falling, bobbing, on wavelets on the edge of a watery meadow.

- Looking out across the wind-swept marshes, Thoreau finds “such healthy natural tumult [as] proves the last day is not yet at hand.” He might have added “Let us therefore cast off the hour of darkness and put on the garments of light.”

Here is philosophy as religion as poetry, and poetry as philosophy as religion. It is religion insofar as it is a matter of tying-us-back into an overflowing, unfinished reality unhappily lost, a resewing of ligaments torn, a religio that is not just the prose of the world or the creed of a church or a school. But rejoining reality is also a kind of poetry and even a kind of natural philosophy or science, 19th century-style (before it became largely a specialist’s private preserve). The writing is also philosophy in a moral-aesthetic vein. It displays and enacts a wise, attentive way of life, a way of walking and seeing, providing an imaginative and tactile immersion, a subtle cultivation of sensory awareness, a way of taking up with the world and sensing oneself in it (and of it).

Yet we know all too well that such tying-into-reality, sensing life or serenity in it, can miserably fail. Then we cannot find our way with the world, and are terribly lost to it, hence yearning for it. We feel the ache of knowing that everything hangs on the
uncertain search.

Thoreau is ready to be startled into life, and to startle us with him, inviting us with Isaiah to “go out in joy” where “mountains and hills will burst into song [. . .] and all the trees of the field will clap their hands.”\textsuperscript{xv} We are far from Newton’s burial of nature, dead through mandatory decoding as nothing but clanging mechanical parts. Wheeling gulls and red cranberry skiffs assure Thoreau (and us) that the end of the world is not quite at hand.\textsuperscript{xvi} There is ample time, accordingly, for receiving the world, searching for it, articulating it for others, enjoying it (such as we may). As Wallace Stephens has it, “The search for reality is as momentous as the search for God.”\textsuperscript{xvii}

**Transcendentalism as Transformative Practice**

In many contexts of discussion, *Transcendentalism* is little more than a term of cataloguing convenience. If we wish to find Thoreau tucked in this drawer, he would be there in virtue of his walking and writing practice, each the inside of the other.\textsuperscript{xviii} He extends and deflects unnoticed but lively meanings from an object of attention (a cranberry) out to a birth and renewal -- and then brings them back to inhere in the *singular immanence* from which we began, a particular now unimaginably enriched and bounteous.

Things are the other side of their meanings and words are the other side of meaning--things disclosed. Poetically rendered abundancies occupy amphibious zones, unstable sites for shifting things. In their liveliness they pierce or break through regions-districts-matrixes-boundaries. A cranberry is also a rigged sailing ship or little red skiff. Amphibious (or anomalous) zones lie where the truncated meanings of the half-life and prosaic are lap over the ever extending, ever transcending meanings each
ordinary thing (or congeries of things) contains.

Abundant singularities radiate liveliness ‘sideways’ (transversally), ‘bottom-down and bottom-up” (vertically), and backward and forward (temporally). Birds high above waters and above grasses create a vertical axis that extends down to reeds and alewives, riverbed fish and pebbled bottoms. There are sideways-spreading transversals as Concord River becomes one of many tributaries, as if sites were nodal points in a skein of strands unfolding. The Musketaquid-Concord belongs with the Euphrates and Nile – timeless rivers attaining a kind of eternity – and there is an openness ahead (“our last hour is yet”) creating an axis for transcendence through present into past and into future.

Evocations of multiple radial extensions out from a radiant singularity here-and-now become Thoreau’s evocations in and of Concord River. They are a series of interlaced flows, moments, and breakthroughs, that exemplify what a living, walking, or rowing transcendentalism might mean. As early as A Week, we find Thoreau not talking about transcendentalism, but writing it, walking it (living it, thinking it) in a way conspicuous to our eye and ear. This lets us glimpse what his moving meditations might mean, even as we move in resonance with them through paradise lost or regained.

A Thoreau-style transcendentalism must be anchored in the diurnal and immanent even as these whisper their self-anchored otherness, and intimates their deep pasts or deep futures. There must be affinities among things of land and river and sky (alders, shad, and gulls) and each must afford to the poet’s eye a more-than merely biological or physical presence. They must whisper their actual or possible conveyance of bounteousness or morbidity, fulfillment or despair, marvelous skill or terrible foreboding. Muskrats can swim for dear life, cranberries can beat upwind, thunder can
forbiddingly roar. Thoreau’s responsiveness to the animation of the meadow’s gulls and
alders and cranberries, and his reckoning with the age of the Nile and the “not yet” of
the end of the world, give us these extensions beyond -- temporally, laterally, vertically.

**WHO ARE THE POETS FIT TO TRANSCEND, DESCEND OR RETURN?**

I’ve said the poet’s eye and word give us the immanent, transcendent, and transversal,
as if poetic eye, word, and writing were the heart of the matter. Not denying this
configuration for a Thoreau-style transcendentalism, we must enlarge it to include John
Brown, who Thoreau calls the only true transcendentalist (someone who commits to
ideals and acts on them). And it must include Thoreau as traveler and walker, someone
whose ideal is to put himself in the way of things that the poetic eye and ear can take in.
Furthermore, we should not think that possibilities for poetic reception and rendition
are the province only of a talented elite. Consider how Thoreau makes his neighbors,
who are neither near-saints like John Brown nor by any ordinary standard, poets,
nonetheless assume the work of poetry and of living transcendentally.

> You shall see rude and sturdy, experienced and wise, men,
> keeping their castles, or teaming up their summer's wood, or
> chopping alone in the woods; men fuller of talk and rare
> adventure in the sun and wind and rain, than a chestnut is of
> meat, who were out not only in '75 and 1812, but have been out
> every day of their lives; greater men than Homer, or Chaucer, or
> Shakespeare, only they never got time to say so; they never took
> to the way of writing. Look at their fields, and imagine what they
> might write, if ever they should put pen to paper. Or what have
they not written on the face of the earth already, clearing, and
burning, and scratching, and harrowing, and plowing, and
subsoiling, in and in, and out and out, and over and over, again
and again, erasing what they had already written for want of
parchment.

Thoreau had a healthy respect, even love, for men of the field and writers, and I would
not exclude women: think of the allure of that lass on the slopes of Mt. Greylock. And
this reputed curmudgeon could write on “Friday” of A Week,

*I pass along the streets of our village of Concord on the day
of our annual Cattle-Show, when it usually happens that the
leaves of the elms and buttonwoods begin first to strew the
ground under the breath of the October wind, the lively spirits
in their sap seem to mount as high as any plow-boy's let loose
that day; This [is an] autumnal festival, when men are gathered in crowds
in the streets as regularly and by as natural a law as the leaves cluster and
rustle by the wayside. . . . I love these sons

of earth, every mother’s son of them, with their great hearty hearts rushing
tumultuously in herds from spectacle to spectacle, as if fearful lest there
should not be time between sun and sun to see them all, and the sun does not
wait more than in hayingtime.*

**OF TIME AND THE RIVER: LIFE LIVED, LIFE DYING**

Sensing the present in its singularities can also be sensing eternity. Better yet, to truly
sense the things of the moment is in fact to sense their eternity. As we will learn later,
on a good “Friday”, “We need pray for no higher heaven than the pure senses can furnish . . .” “May we not see God?” xxiii And as we’ve seen, the senses allow the past and future and the eternal to saturate the things of the present moment. Still amidst moments of “Concord River,” Thoreau writes:

As yesterday and the historical ages are past, as the work of to-day is present, so some flitting perspectives and demi-experiences of the life that is in nature are in time veritably future, or rather outside to time, perennial, young, divine, in the wind and rain which never die.

Thoreau ends his prelude, “Concord River,” and readies himself for his Week of days, with this meditation on the Concord’s amble through time carrying life lived and life dying:

I had often stood on the banks of the Concord, watching the lapse of the current, an emblem of all progress, following the same law with the system, with time, and all that is made; the weeds at the bottom gently bending down the stream, shaken by the watery wind, still planted where their seeds had sunk, but ere long to die and go down likewise

In these last words, “ere long to die and go down likewise”, the emblem of simple progress slows to a gentle stop. But that emblem of progress can also slow to a stop, and well short of tragedy, in what sounds like a ringing affirmation of all life, as Thoreau takes a vantage “outside to time, perennial, young, divine.” Perhaps everything flows anomalously between the ephemeral here and now and the lastingly beyond-time. Be that as it may, in the last words of “Concord River”, Thoreau returns as his living transcendentalism must,
to attend to the singular, the particular, as a portal to meaning and time. We sense an
unanxious even serene being with time as he yields himself up to the river that is ready to
carry him downstream and elsewhere.

*the shining pebbles, not yet anxious to better their condition, the
chips and weeds, and occasional logs and stems of trees that floated
past, fulfilling their fate, were objects of singular interest to me,
and at last I resolved to launch myself on its bosom and float
whither it would bear me.*

**ON THE ROMANCE OF LITERATURE AND PHILOSOPHY: AN AFTERTHOUGHT**

What have poets to do with philosophy, and what do both have to do with the sort of
personal, almost autobiographical, narrative that we find in *A Week*? A poet seems to be in the business of challenging the too-early ossification of boundaries, the hardening of words and their anchors -- as we find Thoreau challenging the history of “Concord River” by extending it back toward “Musketaquid” -- or as in *Cape Cod*, where we find him extending these sands back in time toward their reign as an arm of New France.

Stanley Cavell links philosophy to autobiography, making its writing an instance of passionate and poetic speech -- not just a series of lawyer-like arguments, or analyses of social contracts, for instance. Such speech carries, accordingly, the possibilities of redemption. The models of philosophy he inherits straddle literature and autobiography: Rousseau’s *Reveries*, Thoreau’s *Week*, Kierkegaard’s *The Point of View*, Montaigne’s *Essays*. To accept this convergence of literature, philosophy, and
religiously redemptive writing means setting aside a standing cultural anxiety. Lacking the age of poetry and religion, the younger philosophy splits off to establish its separate identity. As part of this process of aggressive splitting, it bears grudges, quarreling with its progenitors -- those “crude” irrational passions associated with tragedy, myth, music, and poetic intoxication.

Thoreau can inspire sketches of ‘meaning in life’ or ‘life-philosophy’, but then there is passion, music, and poetry, that seem more, or other than, philosophy. Yet there are in addition straightforward philosophical moments, as when he characterizes our world (in Kantian terms) as “answering to our conceptions” (Walden Ch 2). Cavell points this out, and hints further that Thoreau can be seen as giving us a transcendental deduction of each word he writes. That would be to speak of “transcendence” not as a vector of meaning that flows out beyond immediate Lockean experience, and not as a realm of Ideas, Categories, or (in Emerson’s terms) Intuitions that shape experience. It would be to speak of a Kant-like “transcendental deduction” of a concept or category. Cavell hints that Thoreau’s poetic deviations and improvisations and fantasies and innovations can be given philosophical legitimation (a “deduction”), word by word.

If this is on track, Thoreau would be aiming at considerably more than what Kant famously attempts in giving a transcendental grounding, or legitimating, of categories like “causality”. And he would be aiming at considerably more than Kant attempts in grounding a ‘metaphysics of morals,’ and much more than Kant attempts in grounding the activity of reason-giving in a need of reason. If Thoreau wants a grounding of each word, it would be a grounding of each thing that words word -- each thing in the ebb and flow of his writing, a writing that is adjunct to, or the other side of, a natural unfolding of things and their words (each being the inside of the other).
Thoreau ‘grounds’ Concord River, both the mobile site of life and the words of *A Week*) by exposing us to multiple impacts and surprises – meaning breakthroughs or irruptions. We are exposed to the meanings of Musketaquid and alder, as each is linked to ever-expanding networks -- alders giving refuge to cranberries, and the Meadow River flowing down to the maw of the sea. Each thing is caught up in a flow of life-living-and-life-dying, what Wittgenstein called the stream of life and its natural history. The grounding of the words that flow with associated meanings of things is linked to ever-expanding networks of writing and speaking where I stand behind (or evade) the meaning of a swimming muskrat as it breaks through to become a muskrat “swimming for dear life”, and the break through of the latter to her aiming (or not) for the warmth of a fire. Grounding these words depends on Thoreau’s skills and also on my finding credibility in his words – nothing more, nothing less.

We experience the force of our words (in their ebb and flow, in their give and take) – a force that alters our perceptions, this way and that. The “bottom line” is not a literal, immobile, logical or causal attachment of name-to-unwavering-thing, a one-to-one correspondence of perfect fit, or a ‘tracking’ of word to thing. The ‘bottom line” in our immersions in words and worlds is their felt-weight, and the ongoing measured conversational *negotiation* of that felt-weight in voiced concert and conflict, evident credibility and lost-contact, with others. Furthermore, that experienced force or weight of words will resemble our responsiveness (or deafness) to what is surely, in these regions, figural word-useages. Rousseau (*On the Origin of Language*) was surely right that “figurative meaning precedes the literal, that our first utterances are signs of a sudden aspectual vision” xxvi
Being struck by the poetic aspect to things, and articulating this aspect, is a notable accomplishment for adults who struggle to escape a hard encasement of words like death, love, attachment, adventure, friend, the freedom of fields, the pain of loneliness – on and on through our speaking and hearing together. We fight to save language and perception from ice and cold storage. A release toward the poetic or non-literal, a launch away from or against prosaic encasement, can be an inestimably glorious achievement.

For infants and children (and for many, on through adulthood) language learning is not getting the frozen-literal straight, for the literal is not ‘the natural’ but its loss. We start with an anomalous shifting surround, and the poetic edges and centers of experience retain that mobile surround. We begin not with the literal but with the amorphous and anomalous, a world unfolding that will be just too shifting and our verbal tracking of it, too improvisatory, to yield anything like a hard-core, stable and fully decipherable center.

Seeing and speaking begin as poetic adventures, fun and dangerous. We begin life exercising prodigious translation and decoding abilities pretty much on a par with our later, adult poetic abilities (if they haven’t fallen into decay). Thus some will live on to decipher, for example, a poem of Emily Dickinson, or Finnegan’s Wake, or a page from Thoreau – live to decipher the weight and meaning of these words and the things that they word. Rather than say that the world gets ornamented (figurally, poetically), an add-on of interest to a disappointingly, massively, literal-thereby-unornamented world, it’s better to say that the world emerges as a figural world that can both grow toward ever-more-intricate and enticing and terrifying figurations, and simultaneously
congeal into hard distillates of literality of use in the machinery of getting about in the prosaic world. (In a full life neither the figural nor the prosaic are dispensable.)

If my writing on Thoreau’s writing succeeds, then the words I write -- the other side of the things worded -- are ‘grounded’ (if they are) in their passing over to overlap with or to be neighbors to others, in a mutual or holistic network of reinforcement and support. They are grounded (or not) as I stand by each passing or break through or overlapping of meanings (cranberry becoming skiff) – or as I don’t stand by these, but relinquish them, dismissing them as humor or irony or ‘mere metaphor’ or ‘rhetoric’ or but a pretty turn of phrase (“cranberry becoming skiff!”). They are grounded (if they are) as each passing or break through or overlapping holds (or fails) under my listeners’ or readers’ reception or interrogation or rejection. Thus words stand or fall as my credibility in wording them before you stands or falls -- as my (or Thoreau’s) intelligibility stands or falls, as he writes (for example) of muskrats swimming for dear life, toward a lodge with no warming fire, caught in life living (and life dying).

I have no special authority in giving you my words as a transformative possibility. Authority does not rest in any book of rules or congress of priests. It rests in mutual trust, as I offer an image or thing as possessed of great import, and you take that offering in good faith (or not), and weigh the weight of the image, thing, or word collaboratively with me (and with others) in extended dialogues tending toward embrace, acknowledgment (or disdain, dismissal).

Transcendental deductions (or groundings) of ideals and aspirations, hopes and despairs, likewise ebb and flow -- as we (do or do not) find Thoreau’s words in praise of John Brown credible, say as he offers John Brown’s death as a break through to glory. Unlike Washington or Franklin, Brown died for something; Franklin and Washington
merely ‘went missing’). The effort of grounding will ebb and flow as we read that only a few have learned ‘the art of walking’; that “Concord River” is perhaps only a temporary writing over of the name “Musketaquid” (“Concord” will be withdrawn when peace disappears). Grounding will ebb and flow or as we do (or do not) find it credible that Cape Cod’s marriage to New England dissolves its life as an arm of New France.

Knowledge swept by tidal ebb and flow is not always or necessarily (frustratingly, despairingly), uncertain. It carries its certitude with the same flair as my knowledge that crabs belong to the sea and to the land, or that my back door threshold belongs to the inside and to the outside of the house. Knowledge in ebb and flow is no more at risk in a debilitating sense than my footing is at risk, as I stand amidst the advance and retreat of the tide – just there in its ebb and flow. Nothing about being caught in its movement necessarily knocks out my footing. Balance in footing is maintained amidst flux. Equally, balance in understanding, intelligibility, is maintained, negotiated, amidst the ebb and flow of conversation, of reading and writing, of walking meditations that release poetic imagination to flower. This “transcendental” grounding of the intelligibility of the poetic is not chimerical or “just a brand of relativism” but at its best robustly relational, negotiable, and improvisational -- as when I know I am on terra firma (or not) as wavelets lap at my ankles, and as I write of their lap at my ankles, thereby giving my trust to words -- and to worlds -- and to you.

**ROMANTIC TAKES ON THE KANTIAN “THING IN ITSELF”: SECOND AFTERTHOUGHT**

Kant says we cannot have knowledge of ‘the thing in itself’, that vague superfluous or monstrosity or excess – or in a different register, that sturdy guarantor of finitude in knowing -- purportedly lying behind all experience. Yet perhaps the “thing in itself” is
felt or heard or conveyed through media other than knowledge. Knowledge regulated by
determinate concepts is not our sole access to the world. If I am struck by the wonder of
a sunset, I might resist saying that my being impressed by that wonder is a matter of
knowing the sunset. Something strikes me convincingly as an aspect of the sky – I
express this moment of impact by saying that I find the sunset wonderful. Thus at that
moment the world contains for me something other than what I determinately know it
contains.

There is an encounter, here, at sunset, that I can retrospectively divide into two
aspects or vectors. There are matters that I know, for instance that the sun is going
down to the left of that pine, that it is tinged with orange, that low clouds streak through
it. And secondly, there are matters that exceed or transcend or supervene on those
things I know: for instance, that the sunset is wonderful or foreboding or a descent into
the underworld or a reminder that departure is both invigorating and depressing and in
any case arresting. Determinate concepts (yielding familiar sort of reliable knowledge)
will not ground my being swept away by a sunset, or by the distant prospect of a tornado
or by a lunar eclipse.

Given these thoughts I might claim that “the thing in itself” is not an illusion. By
that I would mean not only that knowledge is not infinite, that there is always more to
know, that there will always be shadows beckoning us to know more. I would mean in
addition that lying within or behind a mere “happening”, say of the sun going down –
something of mainly meteorological interest – there also is the sun as the focal point of
an ever-widening and ever-deepening associative field. If that field is activated and
focused (in wonder, say), it can deliver impacts that are as much an aspect of my
perception as any predominately meteorological aspects. A sense of that field focused
by an object of wonder (or terror, say) is a sense of the more-than-Newtonian world
hiding behind mists. In awe (and perhaps, exasperation) I confess that human
knowledge is finite, and falls far short of “the thing itself”, even as it sweeps wonders my
way. Yet I may then come to suspect that this moment of awe (or terror) should not be
described as failure to secure a “thing” in itself. It seems more like a failure to secure a
‘field’ whose shadowed presence is the essential background from which things, persons,
and particulars and their interconnections emerge -- the fields, for example, of awe or
wonder or fear or affection.

Now if Thoreau’s writing gives legitimacy, or authorizes, or grounds something
like Kant’s ‘thing-in-itself’, this means that we take a ‘double aspect’ rather than a “two
object” view of Kant’s notion. There are not two objects, the thing that appears and the
thing that doesn’t (the “thing-in-itself”); there is but one object, that has two aspects. As
I interpret these two aspects here, one is the aspect that affords (let’s call it)
observational knowledge: the sun is setting tonight just to the left of the old oak. The
second aspect is in a sense unknowable, or not exactly something to note down in a log:
its wonder, for instance. A sunset known meteorologically can be eclipsed by the same
sunset, but at this point it is no longer that sort of observational target but something
else or more or other. It is now a force that sweeps me away. From this angle, to give a
‘transcendental deduction’ of ‘the thing in itself’ just means giving an explication of
some experience (like a sunset) such that one sees a rationale for letting the field in view
shift from one that is more or less restricted to objects of observation and knowledge to
one that finds those objects under the aspect of wonder, dread, ephemerality, or delight
– in any case, finds that the field of our experience affords access to such aspects as
exceed targets of methodical observational knowing.
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Our world, arriving under this aspect, is more than a world of dry factual knowledge. In wonder the mind does not stop at such informational knowledge (of this or that) but goes further, will travel beyond our familiar itch to explain (this or that). Wonder (or devastation) are not there to be explained, or there as a ground for practical interests or instrumental appropriation. Consider Emily Dickinson:

*And then a plank in reason, broke,*

*And I dropped down and down--*

*And hit a world at every plunge,*

*And finished knowing--then--*

When we “hit a world at every plunge” and find we’ve “finished knowing then”, the mind and heart leave prying for more data or its explanation. They’re patient with the rain or slant of sun -- stop *here and now*, in wonder and its world.

Dickinson finds “a plank in reason break” -- she falls and falls. But having finished knowing does not erase her worlds. One can ‘finish knowing’ and fall in love, or plunge into dread, or fall into grief or delight – each ‘fall’ or “plunge” will organize the things of the world, let them be revealed as ways of being in the world, ways of being that begin when strict knowing stops.xxix She “hit a world at every plunge / and finished knowing – then -- ”

When Thoreau says in “Walking” that “The highest we can attain to is not Knowledge but Sympathy with Intelligence’ I think he means that our highest, most fulfilling attunement to the world comes when we listen for news, for local “intelligence,” as the world gives its news under the aspects of love, or dread, or grief, or delight, and as that news comes from plant life or grand vistas. We have sympathy with, that is, openness toward, intelligence secreted our way in pouches others will miss. (In
Walden the writer testifies to an affinity with plant life that affords him “intelligence.”) Only an attentive sympathy and affinity with such whispers, shouts, and news from the world can deliver us to sustaining worlds, when knowledge-as-data, or knowledge-as-explanation run out (as they must), and new life begins.xxx

The retrieval of the figural can be more that a nostalgic wish for a different, earlier time. It can be a defense of a realism of the locally poetic -- a defense in the face of ever-encroaching claims to Empire of the science-only opposition, whether in its guises as “just-the-facts” research, critical unmasking (as in the masters of suspicion), or new-wave theoretical (stepping back from, leaving behind as too messy, the felt-weight of words and our experience with them). Acknowledging -- ruefully -- this massive cultural shift, there is nevertheless nothing intrinsically impossible about loving a lily and knowing its biology, between knowing the meteorology of tornadoes and being awed by their power, between naming fish in the stream and longing to become at one with their liquid darting. Thoreau should be assurance of that.xxxi xxxii

__________________________
NOTES

i I take Thoreau interchangeably and seamlessly to be literary and scientific, religious and philosophical. If philosophy is identified only with the dispassionate search for pure knowledge, its affinities with literature or religion will seem strange indeed. However, philosophy can be rooted in desolation rather than pure inquiry, in an ache for salvation and a healthy capacity for wonder or awe. Thoreau’s philosophy is not a love of unassailable knowledge as much as a love of intimate knowledge, knowing that
gives a Biblical touch with things. He is an outsider to academic balkanization, speaking non-academically to all on behalf of a fuller life, with writing that is literary philosophy with a religious bent -- or religious philosophy with a literary bent. His work is full of wonder that counters desolation, a kind of therapy for the soul, and a prophetic call to reform of a restive, acquisitive society that does not know serenity or a path to salvation.

ii I sidestep the story linking “Transcendentalism” genealogically to Kant and Coleridge. Tracing an upward ascent either toward Plato’s Ideal Forms, or toward Kantian regulative (and transcendental) Ideas (or Kant’s transcendental preconditions of knowing), risks lifting us to clouds of abstraction – whereas Thoreau would have us feel our feet on the ground, or feel a skiff slide through the currents of the sustaining river.

iii The quirky ‘Descendentalism’ is Joel Porte’s, *Consciousness and Culture: Emerson and Thoreau Reviewed*, Yale 2004, xiii, xiv, 10, 140.

iv Consider the line from *Walden* that has God “culminating in the present moment.”

v Thoreau had a healthy disrespect for clubs or programs or trends of thought congealed anywhere near doctrine. His living faith was found in walking and in the particulars of his situation and what they could tell him and in a friend or two. And there is nothing useful in disquisitions on so abstract a topic as “transcendentalist”.

vi Ephesians 3:18 that calls us to regard the “breadth, and length, and height, and depth” of the divine; and the last part of this sentence reverberate with lines from *Psalms* and the Whirlwind in *The Book of Job*. I thank Marcia Robinson here.

For clams and jelly fish as anomalous creatures, see Cape Cod, Thomas Y. Crowell Company (1961), Ch. IV, p. 81.

A Week, p. 5.


See “Thoreau’s Translations.”

On poetic description providing the ‘ground’ that makes a stripped-down literalism possible, see Rousseau on the primacy of the figurative see Afterthoughts, above.

Moby Dick, many editions, Ch 35, “The Mast Head”.

Romans 13:12, KJV: The night is far spent, the day is at hand: let us therefore cast off the works of darkness, and let us put on the armor of light.

Isaiah  55:12

In Thoreau’s Journals (April 2, 1952) we read, “The end of the world is not yet”. This affirmation occurs within reflections on the ambit of poetry: “The sun climbs to the zenith daily high over all literature and science . . . the sun of poetry and of each new child born into the planet has never been . . . brought nearer by a telescope. So it will be to the end of time. The end of the world is not yet.” This compactly affirms four things: 1) poetry encompasses all knowledge and literature; 2) it delivers worlds as fresh and new as the world must seem to a newborn child; 3) the world is born again in poetry, just as the sun rises each day; and 4) this assurance or conviction can not be made a
whit stronger by an appeal to a telescope. This passage is quoted in Laura Dassow Walls, *The Passage to Cosmos: Alexander von Humbolt and the Shaping of America*, Chicago, 2009, in the course of tracing an ill-conceived opposition between science in its professionalized 20th and 21st century anti-Thoreauvian guise, and literature. Cora Diamond’s “Knowing Tornadoes and Other Things,” *New Literary History* 22, no.4 (1991), displays science (the meteorologist’s tornadoes and poetry (a writer’s evocation of its lived-presence) as affording contrasting but compatible (and equally essential) modes of perception and knowledge.

xvii See Joel Porte’s discussion of Thoreau’s Faith and its affinities with Wallace Steven’s poem featuring Professor Eucalyptus, in *Consciousness and Culture*, Ch. 11.

xviii Walking affords an openness to the world, taking it in, internalizing it; writing (and its cognate thinking) are ways of making that intake available to others who can, in reading, share that walking.

xix Laura Walls nicely contrasts ‘top-down’ Rationalist holism (not a Thoreauvian style) from ‘bottom-up’ Empirical holism (Thoreau): see *Seeing New Worlds: Henry David Thoreau and 19th Century Natural Science*, pp. 60-93. “Whole” in such holism is achieved in various glimpses and portraits from here and now. The idea of a single, timeless map of the world is an illusion. The idea of an unending multiplicity of maps, each aiming for a holistic prospect (and sometimes achieving one, apt to its aim), is not only non-illusory, it is an essential feature of the map-littered reality we inherit and become. There is no cause to despair in the knowledge that a single full map, the ‘view from nowhere’, is a hopeless chimera.

xx *A Week*, p. 8.
The role of household women in Thoreau’s life, the shock of his losing a marriage bid, and his ‘domesticity’ and ‘gender blurring’ are topics recently under fascinating and overdue discussion.

A Week, 358.

Consider, also, the line (already mentioned) from Ch 2 in Walden, “God culminates in the present moment.” See more on Thoreau’s view that the senses are portals to heaven in Edward F. Mooney, “Wonder and Affliction: Thoreau’s Dionysian World”, in an Thoreau’s Significance to Philosophy, edited by Rick Furtak and Jonathon Ellsbury, Fordham, (forthcoming), and in Mooney’s “Thoreau’s Translations”, in Lost Intimacy, Ch 12. For an account of the education of the senses and perception in the never-ending achievements of moral sensibility, see Sabina Lovibond, Ethical Formation, Harvard University Press, 2002. See Edward Mooney, “Passionate Speech: Cavell and the Dark Woods of a Life”:
http://religion.syr.edu/mooney.html including pertinent quotes from W. E. Sebold, George Eliot, and others. For a striking account of approaching literary texts that avoids the byways of post-structuralism, and gives a rationale for this avoidance, see “”They practice their trades in different worlds’: Concepts in Post-structuralism and Ordinary Language Philosophy,” Toril Moi, New Literary History, Volume 40, Number 4, Autumn 2009, pp. 801-824.

See Mooney, “Passionate Speech” and chs. 6, and 7, (on Cavell) in Mooney’s Lost Intimacy.


See Mooney, “Thoreau’s Translations”.

A group of Concord intellectuals called themselves “transcendentalists” in tribute to what they knew of Kant’s “transcendental philosophy,” but it meant many things. When Thoreau calls John Brown a “true transcendentalist” he means a man who lives high ideals, someone who transcends moral mediocrity. On the other hand, “The Transcendental Club” of Boston saw itself following the spirit of German Philosophy -- Kant, but also his romantic and idealistic successors. Frederick Hedge returned from Germany afire with Kant, Herder, Fichte, Schiller, Kant, Coleridge, and others who offered, it seemed, a lofty moral philosophy. It stressed, in Kant’s phrase, “mankind’s coming into its maturity” or “exit from its self-incurred immaturity,” through a critical reason set to undermine illiberal, authoritarian and clerical abuse. (This essential sense of ‘enlightenment’ seems to have been lost on many of its recent critics.) Allied with imagination, reason could provide *intuitions* (notions not derived directly from worldly experience) -- for instance, about the role of regulative ideals like Morality and Freedom. Many transcendentalists were Ex-Unitarian Ministers who endorsed the new biblical criticism from Germany: the “search for the historical Jesus” yielded a fully human moral exemplar. They endorsed an anti-Lockean active, world-shaping mind,
energized by the “productive imagination”, closely linked to the idea of artistic genius. Kant had denied access to “the thing-in-itself.” Decoupled from accountability to it, imagination and poetry were set free. (See Phillip Gura, American Transcendentalism, a History, Hill and Wang, 2007). Thoreau writes, “The boundaries of the actual are no more fixed and rigid than the elasticity of our imagination.” (Journals, V, 203). The imagination stretches our apprehension of ‘the actual’, permitting transformation – for ‘the actual’ is not fixed through time and place, but changes, ahead and behind our perceptions of it. In Cavell’s moral perfectionism, imagination clears a path to the actual and less imperfect persons we can be, for the boundaries of my actuality are fluid and elastic. Persons continually transcend their latest version of their worlds and the selves they can be: imagination reveals that morally we are never ‘beyond reproach’; so we need to imagine an improved self (to make actual). (See Cavell’s Cities of Words Pedagogical Letters on a Register of the Moral Life, (Harvard University Press, 2008), and Conditions Handsome and Unhandsome (University of Chicago Press, 1990). Thoreau took philosophy to be as unfinished and non-systematic as the self, and devoted to the care of the unfinished self. Imagination is enlisted as the better is brought to light, and so partakes in the transfiguration of the soul, of nature, and of social life. Thoreau’s imagination takes him to Concord’s jail; his transfiguring experience travels transcendentally to suffuse the imaginations Gandhi and King, thus remaking the actual world.

xxix If philosophy is identified only with the dispassionate search for ‘strict knowledge,’ an affinity with literature or religion will seem beyond the pale. However, if philosophy is rooted in desolation (rather than the allure of pure inquiry), then a philosophy like
Thoreau’s, that does not seek an unassailable knowledge will be open toward an intimate knowledge, knowing as a Biblical, poetic, figural touch with things, and so is not “finished” when a ‘plank of reason’ (‘strict knowledge’) breaks.

xxx Thoreau does not hold the hyper-romantic view that scientific (20th century style) knowledge kills poetic evocation, or necessarily leads to an objectified self empty of life. Although our universities in the past fifty years have shifted financial resources away from humanities and toward vocational-professional training and scientific enterprise – threatening the survival of poetry or the humanities as their niche diminishes – Thoreau (and so many others) attest to the mutuality of the worlds science unveils, on the one hand, and on the other, a wonder and poetic celebration of those worlds. Nevertheless, it’s disheartening to see not only the academy but a wide swath of high and middle-brow culture and the bureaucratic business social order tilt thoughtlessly toward the primacy of the scientifically factual and theoretical as if it self-evidently and in every case undermines or silences (what I’ll call) the poetic. Poetic and figural speech and writing become marginalia, consigned to a side-street of entertainment and cocktail party polish. It’s as if the poetic and figural are taken as part of an earlier age that we can only accept with nostalgia, happy to defer to the “realism” of a solely ‘critical’ and ‘theoretical’ (if not ‘scientific’) cultural dispensation.

xxxI In the body of “Concord River” I try to display the transformative mobile ebb and flow of the river. From that effort I come to rely on the tributary, transversal, and transcendental as naming vectors of an immanent singularity. Those names were meant, however clumsily, to evoke a dynamic structure evident in the surface ebb and flow of so many of those early passages in “Concord River.” Only later did I read Laura
Walls’ descriptions of a similar nexus of dynamic forces that she finds underlying Thoreau’s lively observation-based natural science. See Laura Dassow Walls’ *Seeing New Worlds: Henry David Thoreau and Nineteenth-Century Natural Science* (University of Wisconsin, 1995), and in her recent *The Passage to Cosmos: Alexander von Humbolt and the Shaping of America*, Chicago, 2009. She speaks of a congeries of vital facts suggestively implicating a whole – a “bottom-up empirical holism”, as she dubs it. It is heartening to think that by different routes, and by different guiding lexical schemata, we converge on the same Thoreau -- indistinguishably poet-naturalist / naturalist-poet, or poet-prophet-seer / scientist / philosopher.
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